

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is in response to the Office Action of April 03, 2006 issued for the above-identified patent application. The period for response has been extended by three (3) months to October 3, 2006 by the enclosed Petition for Extension of Time.

In that Office Action the Examiner rejected claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chun et al. (6,423,252).

Applicants have amended Claims 3 and 4 to indicate that the temperature scale recited on line 2 of each claim is Celsius. Claims 11 and 12 were amended to correct a typographical error. The Examiner is respectfully requested to make the amendments of record.

The rejection of claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is respectfully traversed.

Applicants have amended claims 3 and 4 to indicate that the temperature scale recited on line 2 of each claim is Celsius. Claims 11 and 12 were amended to correct a typographical error.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this invention.

The rejection of claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chun et al. (6,423,252) is respectfully traversed.

Applicants respectfully submit that Chun et al. is not prior art to Applicants' patent application. Applicants' patent application is a divisional of U.S. Patent No. 6,712,850 having a filing date of November 30, 2001. The Chun et al. Patent published on July 23, 2002. This date is after the priority date of the parent application of the present application. The European equivalent patent application of Chun et al. would have published on December 23, 2001, which

Serial No. 10/761,061

is also after Applicants' priority date. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Chun et al. is not prior art to Applicants' patent application.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

Therefore, on the basis of the foregoing discussion, the Examiner is respectfully requested to make the proposed amendments to the claims of record, to withdraw his rejections, and to allow the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

MURTY NARAYAN VYAKARNAM ET AL.

By: /E.Richard Skula, Reg. No. 31,061/

E. Richard Skula
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 31,061

Johnson & Johnson
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza
New Brunswick, NJ 08933
(732) 524-2718
Dated: September 28, 2006
Docket No. ETH1607USDIV